

Prevalence of GERD in a community-based examination in Thailand

Sombat Treeprasertsuk* Thirada Thongbai*
Tawee Rattanachuek** Varocha Mahachai*

Treeprasertsuk S, Thongbai T, Rattanachuek T, Mahachai V. Prevalence of GERD in a community-based examination in Thailand. Chula Med J 2013 May – Jun; 57(3): 293 - 303

Background

: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is highly prevalent, affecting 10 - 30% of the population in Western countries. In Asia, the prevalence of GERD is lower, ranging from 2.5 - 7.1% in most population-based studies. Recently, GERD has been increasingly encountered in clinical practices in Asian countries.

Objectives

: To describe the prevalence of GERD in a community-based endoscopic examination in Thailand and to assess the relationship between the endoscopic findings of esophagitis and GERD symptoms.

Materials and Method

: The adults aged of at least 18 years old with uninvestigated dyspeptic symptoms or the adults aged of at least 55 years old with or without alarming symptoms were invited to participate voluntary. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) was performed in 2,488 participants from 5 different geographic regions of Thailand. A questionnaire was used to inquire the specific GERD symptoms in all participants. The cardinal symptoms of GERD are heartburn, acid reflux and food regurgitation. Endoscopic findings were recorded and an antral biopsy was performed and tested for Helicobacter pylori using a rapid urease test (Pronto dry). The endoscopic finding of esophagitis was correlated with reflux symptoms in each subject.

^{*} Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University

^{**} Department of Surgery, Rajvithee Hospital

Results

There were 2,488 participants in this community-based endoscopic examination study, consisting of 785 men (31.6%) and 1,703 women (68.4%) with the mean \pm SD age of 50.2 \pm 11.9 years. There were 62.8% of participants (n = 1563) with dyspeptic symptoms whereas 37.2% of the participants (n = 925) were asymptomatic. We found typical reflux symptoms in 855 participants (34.4%); 143 of them had only reflux symptoms and 712 had overlapping symptoms of GERD and dyspepsia. Alarm symptoms, which included significant weight loss and dysphagia, were found in 13.3% (n = 330). Of 855 participants with typical reflux symptoms, only 6.2% of them (n = 53) had endoscopic esophagitis, whereas the group without typical reflux symptoms, including those with asymptomatic and dyspeptic participants (n = 1,633), endoscopic esophagitis was detected in 4.8% (n = 78). The majority of endoscopic esophagitis patients were of mild degree (LA class A = 93%). Participants with typical reflux symptoms (n = 313) had H. pylori infection rate of 36.6% which was significantly lower than those participants without the typical reflux symptoms (n = 686; 42%, P = 0.01) whereas H. pylori infection rate was not different in participants with or without endoscopic esophagitis.

Conclusion

From our community-based endoscopic examination study, the prevalence of GERD using typical reflux symptoms is about one-third (34.4%) whereas only 6.2% of them had endoscopic esophagitis with mild esophagitis predominantly. This suggests that non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) is the most common category of GERD among the Thai participants who experienced typical reflux symptoms.

Keywords

GERD, community-based examination, prevalence.

Reprint request: Treeprasertsuk S. Division of Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

E-Mail:battan5410@yahoo.com

Received for publication. October 14, 2011.

:

สมบัติ ตรีประเสริฐสุข, ฐิรดา ทองใบ, ทวี รัตนชูเอก, วโรชา มหาชัย. ผลการสำรวจความชุกของ โรคกรดไหลย[้]อนในประเทศไทย. จุฬาลงกรณ์เวชสาร 2556 พ.ค. – มิ.ย.; 57(3): 293 – 303

ที่มาของงานวิจัย : ความชุกของโรคกรดไหลย้อนในประเทศตะวันตกอยู่ในช่วงร้อยละ10 - 30

แต่ในประเทศเอเชียมีความชุกที่น้อยกว่าคือ ประมาณร้อยละ 2.5 - 7.1

โดยที่ในปัจจุบันความชุกในเอเชียมีอัตราที่เพิ่มขึ้น

วัตถุประสงค์ : เพื่อหาความชุกของโรคกรดไหลุย้อนในประเทศไทย โดยใช้การกล้องส่อง

ทางเดินอาหารส่วนต้น และต้องการประเมินความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างภาวะ

หลอดอาหารอักเสบกับอาการ กรดไหลย้อน

วิธีการวิจัย : ทำการศึกษาแบบการสำรวจประชากรในพื้นที่ ค้นหาผู้ปวยที่มีอาการจุก แน่นท้องโดยใช้แบบสอบถามอาการปวดท้องโดยอาการเฉพาะของกรด

ไหลย[้]อนครบทั้ง 3 อาการ คือ แสบยอดอก เรอเปรี้ยว และสำลักอาหาร ผู[้]ปวยทุกคนจะได*้*รับการตรวจส[่]องกล[้]องทาง เดินอาหารส[่]วนต*ื*้น คือ ตั้งแต[่]

หลอดอาหาร กระเพาะอาหารและลำไสเ้ล็กส่วนต้นคูโอดีนัม บันทึกสิ่งที่พบ ร่วมกับตัดชิ้นเนื้อส่วนแอนทรัมในกระเพาะอาหาร เพื่อตรวจหาเชื้อ

เฮลิโคแบคเตอร์ ไพโลไร รวบรวมผู้ปวยได้จำนวน 2,488 คน ใน 5 ภูมิภาค

เยสเทเเบทเตยา เพเสเม มาบมาผมูบายเทจาหาน 2,400 พ.ช. เมื่อมู่ผมาท

ของประเทศไทย

ผลการศึกษา : พบวานู้ป่วย 2,488 คน เป็นผู้ชาย 785 คน (31.6%) และ ผู้หญิง 1,703 คน

(68.4%) อายุเฉลี่ย 50.2 ± 11.9 ปี มีอาการปวดท้องใต[้]ลิ้นปี่ 1,563 คน

. (62.8%) ไม่มีอาการ 925 คน (37.2%) และมีอาการกรดไหลย[้]อนครบทั้ง 3

อาการรวม 855 คน (34.4%) มีอาการเรอเปรี้ยวอยางเดียว 143 คน (5.7%)

อาการร่วมปวดท้องใต้ลิ้นปีกับกรดไหลย้อน 712 คน (28.6%) สำหรับ

อาการเตือนที่บ[ุ]่งชี้ว[่]าอาจมีโรคที่รุนแรง เช[่]น น้ำหนักลดลงอย[่]างมาก กลืนอาหารไม่ได[้] พบจำนวน 330 คน (13.3%) สำหรับผู[้]ปวยที่มีอาการ

กรดไหลย[้]อนครบทั้ง 3 อาการจำนวน 855 คน มีเพียง 53 คน (6.2%) ที่พบ

กรดเหลยอนครบทง 3 อาการจานวน 855 คน มเพยง 53 คน (6.2%) พพบ หลอดอาหารอักเสบจากการตรวจสองกลองทางเดินอาหารส่วนต้น ในขณะ

หลอดอาหารอกเลบจากการตรวจลองกลองทางเดนอาหารลวนคน เนษแนะ ที่กลุ่มไม่มีอาการและกลุ่มที่มีอาการปวดท้องใต[้]ลิ้นปี่เพียงอย[่]างเดียว

ทกลุ่มเม่มชาการและกลุ่มทุมชาการบวัตทุชง เดลนบ เพยงชยางเตยว จำนวน 1,633 คน มีเพียง 78 คน (4.8%) ที่พบหลอดอาหารอักเสบจากการ

ตรวจสองกล้องทางเดินอาหารส่วนต้น สำหรับกลุ่มที่ยืนยันว่ามีหลอด

อาหารอักเสบ พบวา่ส่วนใหญ่หรือ 93% มีความรุนแรงระดับเล็กน้อย

(the Los Angeles classification for erosive esophagitis; LA class A)

สรุปผล

ผู้ป่วยที่มีอาการกรดไหลย้อนครบทั้ง 3 อาการจำนวน855 คน มี 313 คน ที่ตรวจพบการติดเชื้อเฮลิโคแบคเตอร์ ไพโลไร (36.6%) ซึ่งพบน้อยกว่า กลุ่มไม่มีอาการและกลุ่มที่มีอาการปวดท้องใต้ลิ้นปี่เพียงอย่างเดียวอย่างมี นัยสำคัญทางสถิติ (n = 686/1,633; 42%, P = 0.01) ในขณะที่อัตราการติดเชื้อเฮลิโคแบคเตอร์ ไพโลไรไม่ได้มีความแตกต่างกันในกลุ่มที่มี และไม่มีหลอดอาหารอักเสบจากการตรวจส่องกล้องทางเดินอาหารส่วนต้น ความชุกของโรคกรดไหลย้อนโดยใช้อาการครบ 3 อาการ คือ 34.4% (n = 855 คน) ซึ่งพบว่ามีเพียง 6.2% (n = 53) ที่มีอาการหลอดอาหาร อักเสบเล็กน้อยจากการตรวจยืนยันด้วยการส่องกล้องทางเดินอาหาร ส่วนต้น ดังนั้นภาวะที่มีอาการคล้ายโรคกรดไหลย้อนโดยไม่มีความผิดปกติ จากการการตรวจส่องกล้องทางเดินอาหารส่วนต้นหรือที่เรียกว่า non-erosive reflux disease เป็นกลุ่มที่พบได้มากที่สุด

คำสำคัญ

กรดไหลย้อน, การสำรวจประชากรในพื้นที่, ความชุก.

GERD (Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease) is a chronic relapsing disease with typical symptoms including heartburn, acid reflux and regurgitation. GERD is highly prevalent in Western countries, affecting 10 - 30% of the population. The prevalence in most Asian community-based studies is less than 10%, but there is a wide variation between East Asia and West Asia. (1,2) Currently, GERD has received an increasing concern in clinical practices in Asia due increased awareness and better recognition of the disease entity. Nevertheless, there is no gold standard for the diagnosis of GERD since there is a poor correlation among symptomatology, endoscopic findings and 24 hr-pH monitoring. Patients may be diagnosed based on typical symptoms alone or with the help of some diagnostic tests. The diagnostic test that demonstrates the reflux of acid content by 24 hr-pH monitoring is not yet widely available in Thailand except in certain research centers and does not always correlated with the symptoms. GERD is best diagnosed using the cardinal symptoms of heartburn and regurgitation. (3,4) This is practical for the diagnosis of GERD in clinical settings. So far, endoscopy is the best test to document esophagitis but the findings may or may not correlate well with symptomatology. We conducted a study of a community-based endoscopic examination in 5 different regions of Thailand to describe the prevalence of GERD and to determine the relationship between the reflux symptoms and endoscopic esophagitis.

Materials and Methods

A community-based endoscopic examination was conducted in 5 different regions of Thailand from

2006 through 2009. The adults aged of at least 18 years old with uninvestigated dyspeptic symptoms or the adults aged of at least 55 years old with or without alarming symptoms were invited to participate voluntary. Prior to endoscopy, we recorded all relevant clinical and demographic information by using a questionnaire. Specifically, the questionnaire covered gastrointestinal related complaints such as abdominal pain, reflux symptoms, bowel habits, and GERD alarm symptoms. History of alcohol drinking, smoking and other medical conditions were also recorded. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to enrollment. The study has been approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University. All participants underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and were tested for H. pylori infection by a rapid urease test (pronto dry). In case of suspicion of malignancy, biopsy was done for pathological study. Endoscopic esophagitis was defined according to the Los Angeles classification of erosive esophagitis (LA classification). (5, 17)

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were presented as numbers (percentage) and were compared by Fisher exact test or Chi-square test where appropriate. Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between the two groups were performed using independent t-test if values were normally distributed or using the Wilcoxon rank sum test if the distribution was not normal. All tests were two-sided, and P-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Results

We conducted a large scale study of a community-based endoscopic examination in 5 different regions of Thailand. A total of 2,488 participants were enrolled, consisting of 785 men (31.6%) and 1,703 women (68.4%) with the mean \pm SD age of 50.2 ± 11.9 years. There were 62.8% of participants (n = 1,563) with dyspeptic symptoms whereas 37.2% of participants (n = 925) were asymptomatic as shown in Table 1 - 2. We found the typical reflux symptoms in 855 participants (34.4%); 143 of them had only reflux symptoms and 712 had overlapping symptom of GERD and dyspepsia. The alarm symptoms, which included significant weight loss and dysphagia, were found in 13.3% (n = 330). Of 855 participants with typical reflux symptoms, only 6.2% of them (n = 53) of endoscopic esophagitis, whereas the group without typical reflux symptoms, including those with asymptomatic and dyspeptic participants (n = 1633), endoscopic esophagitis was

detected in 4.8% (n = 78) as shown in Table 3 - 4. The majority of endoscopic esophagitis patients were of mild degree (LA class A = 93%). Esophageal cancer was found only in 1 patient (0.04%), and gastric cancer in 2 patients (0.08%). Twenty-five of the 131 patients with endoscopic esophagitis had history of alcohol drinking and 10 patients reported current smoking. The body mass index was not a predictor of more severity of endoscopic esophagitis.

Table 5 shows that participants with typical reflux symptoms (n = 313) had $H.\ pylori$ infection rate of 36.6% which was significantly lower than those participants without typical reflux symptoms (n= 686; 42%, P=0.01). The rate of $H.\ pylori$ infection in 131 patients with endoscopic esophagitis was 34.4% (n = 45), which is not different from $H.\ pylori$ infection rate in those patients without endoscopic esophagitis group (n = 954/2,357; 40.5%) (P=0.17) as shown in Table 6.

Table 1. Demographic data and presenting symptoms of study participants.

Variable category: Number (%)		Study population (n = 2,488)
Gender	Male	785 (31.6%)
	Female	1,705 (68.4%)
Age (years)	mean ± SD	50.2 ± 11.9
Geographic region	North	591 (23.7%)
	Central	702 (28.2%)
	Northeast	754 (30.3%)
	East	62 (2.5%)
	South	379 (15.2%)
Nicotine use, Current smoker		218 (8.8%)
Alcohol use		528 (21.2%)
Presence of dyspeptic symptoms		1,563 (62.8%)
Presence of reflux symptoms		855 (34.4%)
Presence of alarm symptoms		330 (13.3%)

 Table 2. Endoscopic findings.

Endoscopic findings	Number (%)
NUD (normal or gastritis)	1,826 (73.4%)
GU/ erosion	352 (14.1%)
DU/ duodenitis	108 (4.3%)
GU and DU	19 (0.8%)
Esophagitis	131 (5.3%)
Gastric cancer	2 (0.08%)
Esophageal cancer	1 (0.04%)
Others (gastric polyps or varices)	49 (2%)

Table 3. Distribution of reflux symptoms, endoscopic esophagitis and percentage of *H. pylori* infection by geographic region.

Region	Total number of	Typical reflux	Endoscopic	Rate of <i>H. pylori</i>
	participants enrolled (%)	symptoms (%)	esophagitis (%)	infection (%)
North	591 (23.7%)	227 (38.4%)	15 (2.5%)	46.5%
Central	702 (28.2%)	241 (34.3%)	55 (7.8%)	32.2%
Northeast	754 (30.3%)	241 (32%)	36 (4.8%)	55%
East	62 (2.5%)	21 (33.9%)	3 (4.8%)	27.4%
South	379 (15.2%)	125 (33%)	22 (5.8%)	17.4%

Table 4. Endoscopic findings in participants with and without typical reflux symptoms.

Endoscopic findings	With typical reflux	Without typical reflux	P value
	symptoms	symptoms	
	(855 cases)	(1,633 cases)	
Normal or gastritis	623 (72.9%)	1,203 (73.7%)	0.67
Esophagitis	53 (6.2%)	78 (4.8%)	0.13
GU or gastric erosions	110 (12.9%)	242 (14.8%)	0.18
DU or duodenitis	47 (5.5%)	61 (3.7%)	0.04
GU and DU	7 (0.8%)	12 (0.7%)	0.82
Esophageal cancer	1 (0.1%)	0	0.34
Gastric cancer	0	2 (0.1%)	0.54

Table 5. Presence of *H. pylori* infection in participants with and without reflux symptom.

H. pylori infection	with typical reflux	without typical reflux symptoms	
	symptoms		
	(855 cases)	(1,633 cases)	
Positive	313 (36.6%)	686 (42%)	
Negative	542 (63.4%)	947 (58%)	

Table 6. Presence of *H. pylori* infection in participants with and without endoscopic esophagitis.

H. pylori infection	With endoscopic esophagitis (131 cases)	Without endoscopic esophagitis (2,357 cases)
Positive	45 (34.4%)	954 (40.5%)
Negative	86 (65.6%)	1,403 (59.5%)

Discussion

Our study was the large community-based endoscopic examination in Thailand that which enrolled participants from a diversity of geographical locations. According to the enrollment of uninvestigated dyspeptic subjects, most of the screened patients (>90%) were investigated with EGD. Most patients had difficulty to access the endoscopic examination thus they had high tendency to cooperate well for the provided investigations and may over-reported the questionnaire for gastrointestinal related complaints. The typical GERD symptoms (heartburn, food and acid regurgitation) were commonly found (n = 855, 34.4%) although the degree of severity was usually mild. Of 855 participants with typical GERD, 143 of them (5.7%) had only the reflux symptoms whereas most participants with typical GERD symptoms had overlapping dyspeptic symptoms. There were more

frequency of women (68%) than men in our study. This finding may be explained by the more number of men working during the daytime and Thai women had more concerned in health problems than those in men.

Clinical significant endoscopic findings were found in 28.9% of the study participants' population with typical GERD symptoms and/or dyspeptic symptoms. Endoscopic esophagitis was found only in 5.8% of the overall participants in this study. The number of cancer patients was very low in our examination: 1 case of esophageal cancer and 2 patients of gastric cancer. The mild end of spectrum of GERD's severity found in this study may be explained by the nature of the study design, instead of using hospital based patients. Participants in the community based examination were likely to have milder symptoms as oppose to participants with more severe symptoms seeking medical care in hospitals. We found alarm symptoms up to 13.3% in this

population. The alarm symptoms consisted of dysphagia and unexplained by significant weight loss. There was no relationship between the alarm symptoms and endoscopic findings; however, the limitation of our study is the small number of cancer patients. A previous study in Canadian adults reported only 2.8% with alarm symptoms which was not related to the cancer findings. (6) In our study, all the 3 patients of our patients with cancer had alarm symptoms. Thus, the alarm symptoms may be not a good predictor of malignancies in a community-based examination.

GERD is a complex clinical condition with heterogeneous symptom patterns. In our study, neither participants with pure GERD symptoms nor overlapping GERD symptoms with dyspepsia predicted the presence of esophagitis. We found poor relationship between the reflux symptoms and endoscopic findings. The majority of participants with typical GERD symptoms in our study did not have endoscopic esophagitis. In addition, PUD was found in 19.2% and NUD in 73.4%. A previous study showed specificities of heartburn and regurgitation to be 89% and 95% respectively, but sensitivity was very low, only 6% - 38%. (7)

We did not evaluate, however, the effect of empirical PPI treatment in participants with typical GERD symptoms in our study. PPI test may be used as first modality before endoscopic diagnosis in our community because of low prevalence and mild severity of esophagitis. Participants with non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) are the commonest spectrum in this population and it will likely respond to PPI or even H₂- blocker because of the mild symptoms. This suggests that the empirical therapy should be

considered as the initial therapy to avoid the unnecessary endoscopy in participants who have mild symptoms. The prompt upper endoscopy may be reserved as a diagnostic tool in those with typical GERD symptoms who do not respond to medical treatment.

From our community-based endoscopic examination, the prevalence of GERD using the typical reflux symptoms is about one-third (34.4%) where as only 6.2% of them (n = 53) had endoscopic esophagitis with mild esophagitis predominantly. This prevalence is not different from a previous study done in Korea which found that the prevalence of GERD was 5%. (8) and the prevalence of endoscopic esophagitis was 8%. Moreover, the prevalence of at least weekly heartburn and/or acid regurgitation in other Asian countries has been reported below 7%. (9-Our findings fail to support the protective role of *H*. pylori against GERD. Participants with typical reflux symptoms had *H. pylori* infection rate of 36.6% which was significantly lower than those participants without typical reflux symptoms (42%, P = 0.01) where as H. pylori infection rate was not different in participants with or without endoscopic esophagitis. Our study has some limitations. First, we did not collect the data of the screened subject who did not received endoscopic evaluation to compare with those receiving the endoscopic examination. Second, most of our patients in the community were female who had dyspeptic symptoms and more concerned in health problems thus the data of symptoms may be overreported. Last, our study was a cross sectional study which has limitation to evaluate the long-term outcomes of GERD treatment.

Conclusions

From our community-based endoscopic examination study, the prevalence of GERD using typical reflux symptoms is about one-third (34.4%) whereas only 6.2% of them had endoscopic esophagitis with mild esophagitis predominantly. This suggests that non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) is the most common category of GERD among the Thai participants who experienced typical reflux symptoms.

References

- Dent J, El-serag HB, Wallander MA, Johansson S. Epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. Gut 2005 May; 54(5): 710-7
- 2. Kang JY. Systematic review: geographic and ethic difference in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2004 Oct 1; 20(7): 705-17
- 3. Kahrilas PJ, Shaheen NJ, Vaezi MF. American
 Gastroenterlogical Association Institute
 technical review on the management
 of gastroesophageal reflux disease.
 Gastroenterology 2008 Oct; 135(4):
 1392-413
- 4. Vakil N, Van Zanten S, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. Am J Gastroenterol 2006 Aug; 101(8): 1900-20
- 5. Armstrong D, Bennett JR, Blum AL,Dent J, De Dombal FT, Galmiche JP, Lundell L, Margulies M, Richter JE, Spechler SJ, et al. The endoscopic assessment of esophagitis:

- a progress report on observer agreement. Gastroenterology 1996 Jul; 111(1):85-92
- 6. Thomson AB, Barkun AN, Armstrong D, Chibu N, White RJ, Daniels S, Escobedo S, Chakraborty B, Sinclair P, Van Zanten SJ. The prevalence of clinically significant endoscopic findings in primary care participants with uninvestigated dyspepsia: the Canadian Adult Dyspepsia Empiric Treatment-Prompt endoscopy (CADET-PE) study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003 Jun 15; 17(12): 1481-91
- 7. Klauser AG, Schindlebeck NE, Muller-Lissner SA.

 Symptoms in gastro-oesophageal disease.

 Lancet 1990Jan 27; 335(8683): 205-8
- 8. Kim N, Lee SW, Cho SI, Park CG, Yang CH, Kim HS, Rew JS, Moon JS, Kim S, Park SH, et al. The prevalence of and risk factors for erosive oesophagitis and non-erosive reflux disease: a nationwide multicentre prospective study in Korea. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008 Jan 15; 27(2): 173-85
- 9. Wong WM, Lai KC, Lam KF, Hui WM, Hu WH, Lam CL, Xia HH, Huang JQ, Chan CK, Lam SK, et al. Prealence, clinical spectrum and health care utilization of gastro-esophageal reflux disease in a Chinese population: a population-based study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003 Sep 15; 18(6): 595-604
- 10. Wong WM, Lai KC, Lam KF, Hui WM, Huang JQ, Xia HH, Hu WH, Lam CL, Chan CK, Lam SK, et al. Onset and disappearance of symptoms in a Chinese population: a 1-year follow-up study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2004 Oct 1; 20(7): 803-12

- 11. Hu WH, Wong WM, Lam CL, Lam KF, Hui WM, Lai KC, Xia HX, Lam SK, Wong BC. Anxeity but not depression determines health careseeking behaviour in Chinese participants with dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome: a population-based study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2002Dec; 16(12): 2081-8
- 12. Wang JH, Luo JY, Dong L, Gong J, Tong M. Epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a general population-based study in Xi'an of Northwest China. World J Gastroenterol 2004 Jun 1; 10(11): 1647-51
- 13. Fujiwara Y, Higuchi K, Watanabe Y, Shiba M, Watanabe T, Tominaga K, Oshitani N, Matsumoto T, Nishikawa H, Arakawa T. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease and gastroesophageal reflux symptom in Japan. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005 Jan; 20(1): 26-9
- Watanabe Y, Fujiwara Y, Shiba M, Watanabe T,
 Tominaga K, Oshitani N, Matsumoto T,

- Nishikawa H, Higuchi K, Arakawa T. Cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption associated with gasto-oesophageal reflux disease in Japanese men. Scand J Gastroenterol 2003 Aug; 38(8): 807-11
- 15. Cho YS, Choi MG, Jeong JJ, Chung WC, Lee IS, Kim SW, Han SW, Choi KY, Chung IS. Prevalence and clinical spectrum of gastroesophageal reflux: a population-based study in Asian-si, Korea. Am J Gastroenterol 2005 Apr; 100(4): 747-53
- 16. Chen M, Xiong L, Chen H, Xu He L, Hu P. Prevalence, risk factors and impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease symptom: a population -based study in South China. Scand J Gastroenterol 2005 Jul; 40(7): 759-67
- 17. Richter JE. The many manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease: presentation, evaluation, and treatment. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2007 Sep; 36(3): 577-99