นิพนธ์ต้นฉบับ

Gastric pull - up reconstruction for laryngopharyngectomy

Siripornchai Supanakorn*

Songklot Aeumjaturapat* Permsarp Isipradit*

Winai Wadwongtham* Kitichai Laungthayeeboon**

Supanakorn S, Aeumjaturapat S, Isipradit P, Wadwongtham W, Laungthaveeboon K. Gastric pull-up reconstruction for laryngopharyngectomy. Chula Med J 1999 May;43(5): 285-93

Objective

To study the treatment outcomes and their complications in a group of patients undergoing gastric pull-up reconstruction for laryngopharyngectomy.

Setting

Division of Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Otolaryngology
Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn
University.

Design

: Retrospective study

Patients

From 1989 to 1998, eighteen adult patients who underwent gastric pull-up reconstruction for laryngopharyngectomy were enrolled in this study.

Methods

All patients received gastric pull-up reconstruction by Transhiatal nonthoracic blunt esophagectomy technique with transposition of the stomach into the cervical area.

Result

The mean age was 58.78 years old. Survival rates were 70% 1-year, 50% 3-year and 40% 5-year, the complication rate was 50% and the mortality rate 33.33%

^{*} Department of Otolaryngology , Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University

^{**}Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University

Conclusion

Gastric pull-up is a procedure with high rates of morbidity and mortality. Careful patient selection and excellent intensive care

facilities are essential to minimize morbidity and mortality.

Key words

Laryngopharyngectomy, Gastric pull-up.

Reprint request: Supanakorn S, Department of Otolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine,

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

Received for publication. February 13, 1999.

ศิริพรชัย ศุภนคร, ทรงกลด เอียมจตุรภัทร, เพิ่มทรัพย์ อิสีประดิฐ, วินัย แวดวงธรรม, กิตติชัย เหลืองทวีบุญ. การยกกระเพาะอาหารมาช่อมแชมทดแทนช่องในลำคอ และกล่องเสียงที่ถูกตัด ออกไป. จุฬาลงกรณ์เวชสาร 2542 พ.ค; 43(5): 285-93

วัตถุประสงศ์

ะ เพื่อศึกษาผลของการรักษา และภาวะแทรกข้อนที่เกิดขึ้นในผู้ป่วยที่ได้รับการ

ผ่าตัดยกกระเพาะอาหารมาข่อมแขมทดแทนข่องในลำคอ และกล่องเสียง

ที่ถกตัดออกไป

สถานที่ทำการศึกษา : ภาควิชาโสต นาสิก ลาริงชีวิทยา คณะแพทยศาสตร์

จฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

รูปแบบการวิจัย

: การศึกษาย้อนหลัง

ผู้ป่วยที่ทำการศึกษา : ผู้ป่วย 18 ราย เป็นมะเร็งบริเวณช่องในลำคอ กล่องเสียง หลอดอาหาร

ส่วนต้น และต่อมไทรอยด์ ที่มารักษาในโรงพยาบาลจุฬาลงกรณ์ ระหว่าง

ปี พ.ศ 2532 ถึง พ.ศ 2541

วิธีการรักษา

: ศึกษาวิเคราะห์ผลการรักษาและภาวะแทรกซ้อนที่เกิดจากการรักษาใน

ผู้ป่วยที่ได้รับการผ่าตัดยกกระเพาะอาหารมาซ่อมแซมทดแทนซ่องในลำคอ

และกล่องเสียงที่ถูกตัดออกไป

ผลการศึกษา

ะ อายุเฉลี่ยของผู้ป่วยเท่ากับ 58.78 ปี อัตราการมีชีวิตอยู่หลังผ่าตัดในระยะ

เวลา 1 ปี, 3 ปี และ 5 ปี เท่ากับ 70 % 50 % และ 40 % ตามลำดับ มีอัตราการเกิดภาวะแทรกซ้อน 50% และอัตราการตายเนื่องจากการรักษา

33.33 %

ฆรัฦ

: การผ่าตัดกระเพาะอาหารมาซ่อมแซมทดแทนช่องในลำคอ และกล่องเสียง

ที่ถูกตัดออกไป เป็นวิธีการรักษาที่มีอัตราเกิดภาวะแทรกซ้อน และอัตราการ ตายค่อนข้างสูง ดังนั้นควรเลือกใช้กับผู้ป่วยที่เหมาะสม และควรดูแลผู้ป่วย

หลังผ่าตัดอย่างใกล้ชืด

Despite continuing improvement in treatment of hypopharyngeal carcinoma, the prognosis remains poor. The 5-year survival rate has been below 25% irrespective of therapeutic modality used, and most patients of advanced disease die within 18 months of diagnosis. (1-3) The poor prognosis is a function of several factors: 71% of the patients are presented with stage III or IV disease and 24% already have metastatic disease.

During the past four decades, varying techniques designed to bridge this gap have included skin tubes and flaps, myocutaneous flaps, colon interposition and free jejunal autografts. All have had a high incidence of failure owing to anastomotic leaks, fistulas, strictures and necrosis of the interposed segment.

Colon interposition, described by Golligher and Robin in 1954, ⁽⁹⁾ has the advantage of using a long segment of the gastrointestinal tract with its own vascular pedicel interposed between the pharynx and the stomach. ⁽¹⁰⁾ The main disadvantage of colon interposition are the need for three intestinal anastomoses, breakdown of the suture line in the neck, or necrosis of the colonic segment due to it's tenuous blood supply.

Jejunal autografts have recently been used to bridge the gap between the pharynx and the cervical esophagus because they provided a good size match, have a better muscular component than the colon, and the repair may be accomplished in a single stage. (11) However, the difficult arterial and venous anastomoses can lead to a significant rate of bowel necrosis and anastomotic breakdown, with fistula and subsequent stricture formation. (12)

The use of the stomach for reconstruction, described by Turner⁽¹³⁾ in 1936, was initially performed by Ong and Lee⁽¹⁴⁾ in 1960 through a combined abdominal, right thoracic, and cervical approach. LeQuesne and Ranger⁽¹⁵⁾ performed the first transhiatal nonthoracic blunt esophagectomy with transposition of the stomach into the cervical area. The resultant gastric pull-up procedure was later modified and optimized by Stell⁽¹⁶⁾ Leonard and Maran,⁽¹⁷⁾ Silver,⁽¹⁸⁾ Akiyama et al,⁽¹⁹⁾ Harison-Orringer,⁽²⁰⁾ Orringer,⁽²¹⁾ and Spiro et al.⁽²²⁾

During a ten year period at our institution, we performed gastric pull-up reconstruction for laryngopharyngeal esophagectomy by a transhiatal nonthoracic blunt esophagectomy technique with transposition of the stomach into the cervical area in 18 patients. This paper describes the treatment outcomes and their complications.

Materials and Methods

Patient population

During a ten year period from 1989 to 1998, total laryngopharyngectomy-esophagectomy with gastric pull-up reconstruction was performed in 18 patients. Their records were reviewed in our study.

Technique

A two-team approach is used. One team performs the pharyngolaryngectomy with or without a radical neck dissection. The second team, after giving the surgeons operating upon the neck an appropriate start, performs an upper midline laparotomy and commences mobilization of the stomach. In this mobilization, the right gastroepiploic and right gastric vessel are carefully preserved as

are the vascular arcades along the greater and lesser curvatures. The left gastric and gastroepiploic vessels are divided, and the mobilization continues through the esophageal hiatus with diversion of the peritoneum, vagus nerve and phrenoesophageal ligaments. The hiatus is enlarged significantly to accommodate passage of the surgeons' hand as well as the stomach itself into the posterior mediastinum. A generous Kocher maneuver facilitates full mobility of the stomach. A Heineke-Mikulicz pyloroplasty is performed.

The normal thoracic portion of the esophagus can be totally resected by blunt dissection working from the abdominal and cervical approaches without performing a thoracotomy. Most of this dissection is done digitally.

With the esophagus and stomach now completely mobilized, the fundus is gently guided through the enlarged esophageal hiatus by the abdominal surgeon, while the neck surgeon puts steady traction on the esophagus. In this manner, the entire stomach is delivered into the posterior mediastinum. Continued appropriate traction eventually enables the fundus to reach the stump of the oropharynx easily, at or above of the level of the resected hyoid bone. The lower part of the esophagus is transected, and the cardioesophageal junction is closed over a clamp with continuous suture. An incision is made in the fundus, and a two-layer pharyngogastric anastomosis is performed. (18)

Results

The patients included in this series ranged in age from 30 to 76 years and the average age was

58.78. Men predominated in this series with only 4 of the 18 patients being women. The results of primary tumor site and cell types are summarized in table 1. The hypopharynx was the most common primary site and squamous cell carcinoma was the most common cell type. Of these 18 patients, 37.50% were stage III, while 62.50% were stage IV.

Table 1. Cell type and site of primary tumor.

Cell type/site	No. of patients
SCCA of hypopharynx	8
SCCA of larynx	6
SCCA of cervical esophagus	2
Mucoepidermoid CA of larynx	1
Anaplastic CA thyroid	1

SCCA = squamous cell carcinoma

Operation

Seven patients underwent total laryngo-haryngectomy-esophagectomy with gastric pull-up, 6 patients underwent total laryngopharyngectomy-esophagectomy with gastric pull-up with unilateral neck dissection, and 5 patients underwent total layngopharyngectomy - esopharyngectomy with gastric pull-up with bilateral neck dissection, as shown in table 2.. Intercostal drainage was performed in 16 patients, 9 patients interoperatively and 7 postoperatively. Operation time ranged from 4 to 8 hours (median 6 hours). Blood replacements required from 400 to 2,000 ml. (median 1,080 ml.). The duration of hospitalization from surgery to discharge was 14 to 84 days (median 41 days) and from surgery to death was 3 to 70 days (median 19 days).

Table 2. Surgical procedure.

Operative	procedure	No. of patients
TLPEG + G	6P	7
TLPEG + GP + unilat. ND		6
TLPEG + GP + bilat. ND		5
TLPEG	= total laryngophary	ngectomy -
	esophagectomy	
GP	GP = gastric pull-up reconstrution	
Unilat. ND = unilateral neck dissection		ssection

= bilateral neck dissection

Adjuvant therapy

Bilat ND

Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy were administered in the majority of cases. 80% of the patients received postoperative external radiation beam therapy, 13.3% received preoperative radiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy was usedfor 1 patient (6.7%).

Complications and mortality

A total of 19 complications occurred in 9 patients. Most of these were directly related to technique aspects of the surgical procedure (table 3.). The most common complication was hemopneumothorax, follow by pneumonia and infected wounds. An incidental splenectomy was necessary in 3 patients because of problems encountered during mobilization of the stomach. Six patients died as a result of postoperative complications. These included 1 patient from congestive heart failure, 1 patient from pneumonia, 1 patient from hepato-renal failure, 1 patient from sepsis and 2 patients from sudden cardiac arrest. Two patients died on postoperative months 1 and 9. The cause of death was lung

metastasis. Two patients had cervical lymph node recurrence at 10 and 12 months postoperatively and neck dissection was performed. Primary site recurrence had not occurred in our series.

Table 3. Post-operative complications.

Complication		No. of patients
1.	Early complication	
	Hemo-pneumothorax	7
	Pneumonia	3
	Infected wound	2
	Sepsis	2
	Wound hematoma	1
	Hepato-renal failure	1
	Congestive heart failure	1
	Tracheo-innominate fistula	1
2.	Late complication	
	Tracheostomal stenosis	1

Survival rate

Survival rates were low, as would be expected in any group of patients with advanced head and neck cancers. These were 70%, 50%, 40% for 1, 3, 5 - year survival rates respectively.

Discussion

Which technique is preferred for reconstruction of the laryngopharyngectomy-esophagectomy patient. Surkin et al, pointed out that the optimal reconstruction should provide the lowest morbidity and mortality, the shortest hospitalization and the highest rate and most rapid interval to successful alimentation. Several types of reconstruction methods were compared, included tube skin flaps, gastric pull-up, free jejunal transfer, and colon interposition. (24)

Mehta SA et al. suggested that patients in good condition and without cardiorespiratory problems are suitable to undergo gastric pull-up following laryngopharyngectomy-esophagectomy for hypopharyngeal cancer. The low incidence of fistula formation, anastomotic stricture, and the short hospitalization make the procedure well worth the effort. (23)

Patients in this study were in advanced stage (III and IV). The overall complication rate of 50% is quite high compared to the study of Cahow CE. (26) with a 32% complication rate, but is nearly the same as in the study of Spiro RH. (22) with a 55% complication rate.

Our mortality rate was 33.33%, which is not so high as compared with reports from other centers in table 4.

Table 4. Published results of pharyngogastric anastomosis. (23)

Authors	Percent mortality
Lam et al	31
Fredrickson et al	0
Silver	33
Peracchia et al	16
Spiro et al	10
Pradhan and Rajpal	20
Surkin et al	8
Krespi et al	5.1
Jones et al	50
Harrison and Thompson	11

Survival rates for the groups are 70% 1-year, 50% 3-years and 40% 5-years. The overall survivals compared favorably with reports from Pingree et al⁽¹⁾

(65% 1-year, 33% 3-years and 25% 5-years) and from Spiro et al (22) (37% 3-years, 27% 5-years).

Gastric pull-up reconstruction for laryngo-pharyngectomy-esophagectomy has the advantage of being a one-stage operation that uses two teams of surgeons and one intestinal anastomosis. The disadvantages of this operation are the technical difficulty, relatively high morbidity and mortality and the need for the abdominal operation. It is, however, not recommended for the "occasional gastric pull-up surgeon" nor would it be advisable to perform this surgery in a center that lacks excellent intensive care facilities. (23)

References

- Pingree TF, Davis RK, Reichman O, Derrick L.
 Treatment of hyporyngea I carcinoma: a 10-year review of 1,362 cases. Laryngoscope 1987 Aug;97(8 pt 1):901-4
- Spaulding MB, Kahn A, Sundquist N, Lore JM Jr.
 Preoperative chemotherapy for hypopharyngeal carcinoma. Laryngoscope 1983 Mar; 93(3): 346-9
- Persky MS, Daly JF. Combined therapy vs curative radiation in treatment of pyriform sinus carcinoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1981 Jan-Feb; 89(1): 87-91
- Wookey H. The surgical treatment of carcinoma of the pharynx and upper esophagus. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1942 Oct; 75(4): 499 - 506
- Bakamjian VY. A two stage method for pharyngoesophageal reconstruction with a primary pectoral skin flap. Plast Reconstr Surg 1965 Aug; 36(2):173-84
- 6. Baek SM, Lawson W, Biller HF. Reconstruction of

- hypopharynx and cervical esophagus with pectoralis major island myocutaneous flap.

 Ann Plast Surg 1981Jul; 7(1):18-24
- 7. Hueston JT, McConchie IH. A compound pectoral flap. Aust N Z J Surg 1968 Aug; 38(1): 61-3
- 8. Shan JP, Haribhakti V, Loree TR, Sutaria P. Compliations of the pectoralis major myocutaneous flap in head and neck reconstruction. Am J Surg 1990 Oct; 60(4): 352-5
- Goligher JC, Robin IG. Use of left colon for reconstruction of pharynx and esophagus after pharyngectomy. Br J Surg 1954 Nov; 42: 283-90
- 10. Surkin MI, Lawson W, Biller HF. Analysis of the methods of pharyngoesophageal reconstruction. Head Neck Surg 1984 May - Jun; 953(5): 70
- 11. Gluckman JL, McDonough J, Donegan JO. The role of the free jejunal graft in reconstruction of the pharynx and cervical esophagus. Head Neck Surg 1983 May Jun; 4(5): 360-9
- 12. Ferguson JL, DeSanto LW. Total pharyngectomy and cervical esophagectomy with jejunal auto-transplant reconstruction: complications and results. Larygoscope 1988 Sep; 98(9): 911-4
- 13. Turner GG. Carcinoma of the esophagus: the question of its treatment by surgery. Lancet 1936 Jan 11; 1:130 4
- 14. Ong GB, Lee TC. Pharynogogastric anastomosis after esophagopharyngectomy for carcinoma of the hypopharynx and cervical esophagus. Br J Surg 1969; 56: 98–103
- LeQuesne LP, Ranger D. Pharyngolaryngectomy with immediate pharyngogastric anastomo-

- sis. Br J Surg 1966; 53: 105-9
- 16. Stell PM. Esophageal replacement by transposed stomach. Following pharyngolaryngo esophagectomy for carcinoma of the crycical esophagus. Arch Otolaryngol 1970 Feb; 91(2): 166-70
- 17. Leonard JR, Maran AG. Reconstruction of the cervical esophagus via gastric anastomosis.

 Laryngoscope 1970 Jun; 80(6): 849-62
- 18. Silver CE. Gastric pull up operation for replacement of the cervical portion of the esophagus. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1976 Feb; 142(2): 243-5
- 19. Akiyama H, Hiyama M, Miyazonal H. Total esophageal reconstruction after extraction of the esophagus. Ann Surg 1975 Nov; 182(5): 547-52
- 20. Harrison DF. Surgical repair in hypopharyngeal and cervical esophageal cancer: Analysis of 162 patients. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1981 Jul - Aug; 90(4 pt 1): 372-5
- 21. Orringer MB. Technical aids in performing transhiatal esophagectomy without thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 1984 Aug; 38(2): 128-32
- 22. Spiro RH, Bains MS, Shah JP, Strong EW. Gastric transposition for head and neck cancer: a critical update. Am J Surg 1991 Oct; 162(4): 345-52
- 23. Mehta SA, Sarkar S, Mehta AR, Mehta MS.

 Mortality and morbidity of primary pharyngogastric anatomosis following circumferential
 excision for hypopharyngeal malignancies.

 J Surg Onocol 1990 Jan; 43(1): 24-7
- 24. Schusterman MA, Shestak K, deVries EJ, Swartz

- W, Jones N, Johnson J, Myers E. Reconstruction of the cervical esophagus: free jejunal transfer versus gastric pull up. Plast Reconstr Surg 1990 Jan; 85(1):16-21
 25. deVries EJ, Stein DW, Johnson JT, Wagner RL, Schusterman MA, Myers EN, Shestak K,
- Jones NF. Hypopharyngeal reconstruction: a comparison of two alternatives. Laryngoscope 1989 Jun; 99 (6 pt 1): 614-7
- 26. Cahow CE, Sasaki CT. Gastric pull up reconstruction of pharyngo laryngo esophagectomy, Arch Surg 1994 Apr; 129(4): 425-30